The Student-Writer BRAK

A Little Talk Every Month with Those Interested in the Technique of Literature ITY OF DENVEY

Published from the Workshop of Willard E. Hawkins, 1835 Champa Street, Denver, Colorado.

Volume V Number 1

January, 1920

50c a Year, 3 Years \$1

THE CASE OF BEN AMES WILLIAMS A Study in Determination

By J. FRANK DAVIS

EDITOR'S NOTE .- Often has it been said in The Student-Writer that aspiring authors usually fail to attain success because they are unwilling to pay the price-but never more convincingly than in the following article. In his letter accompanying it, Mr. Davis wrote: "Williams is one fine young man and not a bit spoiled by his spectacular arrival, altho he admits being a trifle stunned and benumbed. As you will gather from my piece about it, I have been greatly impressed with his grit-and its results. When he came out of Dartmouth in 1910, or perhaps 1909, he got a job as cub reporter and deliberately set out to make himself a fiction-writer. The only other man I have ever known who, systematicaly and with perfect certainty that he could succeed, came out of college, got a newspaper job, and announced that he was going to write stories (meantime, of course, giving value received on the newspaper-the other sort wouldn't succeed at anything) was Earl Derr Biggers, who worked for me as a reporter when I was only city editor of the Boston Traveler, and afterward did a 'colyum' on the same paper when I was editor. Biggers went at it in the same coldblooded way, and also succeeded."

With the lesson of the eighty and three, to be gleaned from the pages following, let those who have been on the point of quitting hold the thought that the picture rights alone of his past

year's work brought Williams nearly \$25,000!

A MONG the want-to-be writers—the really earnest, willing, industrious ones—a certain number are always on the point of giving it up; just about ready to decide that when the next rejection slip comes in they will give up. Conceding that if some of them were to quit the future of literature would not be noticeably impaired, we nevertheless know that if all of them should quit the next decade would have lost some of its master story-tellers. And there isn't any way to sort them out and know in advance which will be permanent flivvers and which the authors of the best sellers of 1925 and 1930. So let's suggest to them that they consider the case of Ben Ames Williams.

Entered as second-class matter April 21, 1916, at the post office at Denver, Colo. Single copies 5 cents. Foreign subscriptions 75 cents; 3 years \$1.50. They all know who Williams is, at least they know what he has recently done; he has been one of the rather spectacular "arrivals" among American fiction-writers in the last two or three years. They have read his "All the Brothers Were Valiant"—or, if they haven't, they ought to read it, his "Jubilo," "The Sea Bride," "The Great Accident," "Black Pawl." They have observed that the biggest and highest-paying magazines are printing his work and boasting about it. Perhaps they have guessed that Williams has probably made more money lately than any other of the younger and newer story-writers.

It might do them a whole lot of good also to know that Ben Ames Williams never would have been heard of as an author if he hadn't had a brand of stick-to-it-iveness so rare that whenever it pops up the rest of us are impelled to give three rousing cheers.

In the chapter on "The Attitude of Mind," in your "Helps for

Student-Writers," appear these words:

To grow as a writer, one must have regular mental exercise of the proper kind. One must write and thereby develop the power to write. This is the universal law of growth. * * * Knowing then, that as long as he is exercising his faculties, such exercise will eventually bring him full power, why should any writer become discouraged? * * * Never make the foolish and illogical remark: "I know I shall never succeed at this rate." On the contrary, you cannot help succeeding, in good time, in the line along which you direct you growth.

Wherefore, again, consider the case of Williams.

He was making his living as a newspaper reporter, but wanted to learn to write fiction. He believed he could learn. Nothing in the way of hard work unrepaid, seeming masterpieces of plot and English that came back, and printed rejection slips, could shatter this belief. He kept right on plugging, an hour or two every night. He wrote stories, polished them up and sent them away, and they all flew home and roosted in his drawer of rejected manuscripts; and he wrote more stories, polished them up and sent them away, and looked at them sadly when they returned, and added them to the heap in the drawer—and wrote more stories.

This began in 1910. He got his first acceptance after nearly five years, in December, 1914. It brought him a check for \$50. Things looked more cheerful. Then during the whole of 1915 he

made about \$250.

Now let the young man or young woman who has sent out three tales and decided, because they were all promptly declined, that there is nothing in this story business except for those already famous (forgetting to wonder how those authors first became famous)—let such persons consider what seems to me to be the most significant set of declination statistics I have ever heard. offe ettes cam

a ye

cept cut can, wou far

> Stat and for have as h dese

> > is so diff rive

pres

Ben shor a lin him (wh cause under unle will done yarr I ha wer the

for Bes thro not age: Before he made his first sale, Ben Ames Williams wrote and offered to editors upwards of eighty short stories and three novelettes. And upwards of eighty short stories and three novelettes came back.

And don't forget that after he sold one he still plugged on for a year, with nothing much happening. How many times, during that year, a quitter would have said: "It's no use; those little acceptances must have been accidents; the thing to do is to figure I'm cut out to be a newspaper man and try to get at that as well as I can, and forget this fiction-bug." Although of course the quitter wouldn't have got to the first acceptance; he would have stopped far back of the eighty odd and three.

I don't suppose there are twenty fiction-writers in the United States (and they are the Tarkingtons, Churchills, Cobbs, Rineharts and Hursts) who would not like to change incomes with Williams for 1919, but you can gamble there is not one of them who would have begrudged him his success if he had earned ten times as much as he did. After that little history of refusing to be disheartened, he deserves to make a million.

And right here is where some youngster says: "Of course he is selling a lot of stories. Once he had got a reputation, he had no difficulty in disposing of all those things he wrote before he arrived."

So let me assure that neophyte, who still labors under the impression that magazine editors buy names instead of stories, that Ben Ames Williams has never sold one of those more than eighty short stories and three novelettes. He not only has never unloaded a line of those earlier efforts, but right now, with editors asking him to hurry and let them have something, he and his literary agent (who happens to be the best one in the United States; I know, because I have done business with him for fourteen years) have an understanding that nothing he writes shall be offered to an editor unless Williams and the agent see a reasonable chance that the tale will be considered as good or better than anything he has theretofore done. And that agent doesn't hesitate to declare himself when a varn is not up to a writer's standard. Again I know; on his advice I have administered chloroform to some of my efforts that I thought were about my topnotch production when they first emerged from the thought-works. (Aspiring young writers, please don't ask me for the agent's name; just now he is not taking on any new clients. Besides, while there are certain advantages in doing business through a first-class agent, what counts with editors is the story, not the channel through which they receive it. A competent literary agent relieves an author of business details and otherwise earns his

er it for

has

vals"

They

en't.

Ac-

and

bout

ory-

Ben

f he

nted g in and tter ght.

pts; vay, i to arly

out ned, ady

ost

he

commission in many ways, but he has no recipe for selling poor work.)

"Selling stories," Ben Williams says, "is merely a matter of writing reasonably good ones."

That couldn't start any argument with me when he said it, because I have known it for a good many years (in fact, we were talking about an acquaintance who had been knocking the readers for one of the big magazines, and how Williams really worded it was: "Selling stories, as you and I know, is merely a matter of writing reasonably good ones"); but it may interest some of the beginners who have had a different theory.

Every man at all experienced in the business of writing and selling fiction knows that big editors approach every heap of new manuscript with the hope of discovering there the work of a new man or woman who has a story to tell and can tell it. Wouldn't George Horace Lorimer like to come upon a story as good as Booth Tarkington or Irvin Cobb can write which he could buy from a hitherto unheard-of person for a tenth of their rate? He would be a rotten business man if he wouldn't, and I have never heard Mr. Lorimer thus referred to.

And here is another thing Williams said that student-writers way profitably digest:

"One of my theories is that anyone with a reasonable basic knowledge of the English language and enough liking for the game to stick to it can reach the point where he will be able to sell more stories than he can write. The joker in this is, of course, that no one will care enough to stick to the game unless he has the stuff."

In a letter to him the other day I asked if he had any objection to my telling the simple facts of his uphill pull to the folks who read The Student-Writer, and he said in the course of his reply: "There's no disgrace in writing bad stories when you can't write good ones, and no disgrace in having written them if you have the grace to tear them up when you improve the breed." The italics are mine. They help to make it clear why, having once arrived, he continues to be among those present.

Ben Williams's success, to those who had never heard of him until his name began to be featured in the big-league magazines, seemed to have come very suddenly, but how very, very slow in arriving it must have seemed to him, during those five years when every single line he wrote came back, and that next year when, after having secured a precarious toe-hold on the lowest rung of the ladder, he still couldn't get started climbing.

However, as has been said a number of times, things often come to those who wait and hustle unceasingly while they wait. So let the disconsolate writer with six, or ten, or twenty rejected manuscrip quitt writt has

has

right as, si

you l

of ye

mosp sons has p parte had y of w

scripts in his drawer consider, on the days when he most feels like quitting, the eighty-and-more short stories and the three novelettes written by Ben Ames Williams that no magazine subscriber ever has seen or ever will see.

Then the struggler will stick to the game, undiscouraged, if "he

100

of

it, ere

it of

he

nd

ew

n't

th

a

ıld

fr.

rs

sic

ne

re

no

. "

on

ad

e's es.

ar

ey

be

m

S.

r-

en

er den io BREAKING RULES
BY TORREY CONNOR

THERE is no rule in story-building without a reason behind it.

In the matter of time-unity, the novice questions:

"Why shouldn't a short-story cover a period of ten years?"
The answer is: A story of the present, lived, as it were, right before our eyes, is more vital, gripping. It has a reality such as, say, a story told in narrative style never can have. In illustration of the judgment that a short story should not cover a period of years:

The reader is perusing your story, enjoying the atmosphere you have created, absorbed in the pictures you have drawn, getting closer and closer to the heart, the spirit of the story. Then—

"It must have been the year that Molly was ill that ——."
What a wrench before the mind can readjust itself! The atmosphere is dissipated; the "pictures" have vanished. Those persons we were learning to love are almost as strangers to us. A year has passed! Can you meet a friend from whom you have been parted a year and feel the same interest in him that you would feel had you seen him yesterday? No! Things have come into his life of which you know nothing. There is a break. You cannot go

The low price of this indispensable guide makes it easy for those who have the early editions to discard them when later editions are issued.

NEW, REVISED EDITION JUST OFF THE PRESS

THE STUDENT-WRITER'S HANDY MARKET LIST Price, 25 Gents

Listing conveniently more than 300 periodical markets for manuscripts, with addresses corrected to date of publication and brief indication of the type of material used by each.

Given Free With

1 year's subscription (new or renewal) to The Student-Writer at \$.50
3 years' subscription to The Student-Writer, at 1.00
1 copy of "Helps for Student-Writers," at
Combination of 1 year's subscription and copy of book, at 1.25
Combination of 3 years' subscription and copy of book, at 1.75
Combination of book, bound volumes of The Student Writer for
1917, 1918 and 1919, and 1 year's subscription, at 5.00

smoothly on where you left off. It is not the same friendship. He has changed in the year—he must have changed. It is not the same story.

"When the time comes that editors shall welcome my work," you may say, "I can disregard these rules—make rules of my own."

When that time comes, you will not break the rules. Why should you? By the help of these very rules that now irk you, you have builded your story. They will have become so much a part of your method that you will unconsciously write to rule. When breaking rules only results in muddling your story, why flout the rules?

A VOCABULARY HINT

The following letter from a student who discovered a lack in her own literary equipment, and a very plausible cure for it, may prove suggestive to others who find themselves in like situation.

Merely by reading two hours of Emerson this afternoon, I made a discovery. To acquire freedom of style, I sat down with a volume of Emerson and, as you suggested, read aloud.

After reading a paragraph twice, I reviewed it to see if I could give the exact definition of every word "right off the bat." Do you know how many words I found in the two hours that didn't belong to my vocabulary? Exactly eighteen. And my next work will be to add them to my working vocabulary.

This experience was a surprise to me, for I am able to read Emerson and thoroughly to enjoy him. In a way, I knew all he was talking about, but I was determined to find out why I couldn't make my work like his writings.

I had never before felt the lack of a broad vocabulary. I have lived here in this little Western town for fifteen years and thoroughly absorbed its "lingo." Another thing that has tended to narrow my vocabulary is that many people misuse words. For that reason I have adhered strictly to those I was sure of, despite the fact that they were sometimes inadequate.

I am never unhappy about anything after I have found out how to overcome the difficulty, and I feel sure that I have at least hit upon a plan that will improve my narrative style. Watch me and see.

SCENARIO AND PLAY CRITICISMS.

The Student-Writer's criticism service covers moving picture scenario and play manuscripts, which may be submitted under the schedule of rates quoted for prose manuscripts. Typing or revision subject to estimate.

Are you in touch with your fellow writers—their activities, achievements, methods?

THE LITERARY GOSSIP MONGER,

owned and edited by Hattie Horner Louthan, is devoted to the interests of Colorado writers, both professional 50 cents the year, and amateur. 3600 Raieigh St., 5 cents the copy DENVER, COLORADO

YOU

Need the Student-Writer Publications

BARGAIN OFFER

Purchased individually, the bound volume sets of The Student-Writer for 1917, 1918 and 1919, at \$2.00 each, would cost \$\$6.00; "Helps for Student-Writers," \$1.00; a year's subscription to The Student-Writer, beginning with January, 1920, 50 cents; a copy of "The Handy Market List," 25 cents—total \$7.75.

Extraordinary bargain offer for the three bound volumes (while they last), book, subscription and market list\$5.00 SAVING-\$2.75!

A complete file of articles published in The Student-Writer contains a wealth of indispensable information for the literary worker that could not be duplicated in any other form.

Bound volume sets for 1917, 1918 and 1919, each \$2.

The 1916 articles are contained in the book,

Helps For Student-Writers

Contents: Can We Afford to be Original?—Have a Standard of Style.—An Aid to Standardization.—Plot and Climax Essentials.— Naming the Characters.—Photoplays or Fiction?—The Attitude of Mind.—'Snowballing' a Plot.—The Stone Wall of Talent.—Why Strive for Unity.—The Precipice of Suspense.—Fixing the Viewpoint.—Word Lenses.—The Place of Technique.—Creative Characterization.—The Law of Rhythmic Development.—'He Said' and "She Said."—The Boiler and the Whistle.—Hackneyed Plots.—The Purpose of Fiction.

The chapters on plot building, viewpoint, style, unity, suspense, characterization, and other phases of short-story building contain information and hints that writers will search for valinly in other textbooks.

Address The Student-Writer, 1835 Champa Street, Denver, Colorado.

The Supervision Story-Writing Course

The Supervision Story withing Course covers the criticism of 300,000 words of prose for a total fee of \$100. This constitutes a pronounced discount. Shorter courses arranged as follows: When payments are made at the rate of \$10.00 a month, student will be entitled to the criticism of 15,000 words for the first payment and 20,000 words for each payment thereafter, until a total of \$100 has been paid. The student is then entitled to additional criticism of 105,000 words, making a total of 300,000. Under this plan of payment the full course may be paid for at the rate of \$10.00 monthly for ten months. A discount of 20 per cent will be allowed for payment covering the full course in advance.

It is not necessary that the work be completed within a year's time, or any specified period, though we have found that students who work regularly and uninterruptedly make best progress. The course is adapted to the individual needs of the student. Naturally a beginner will require assignments, assistance, and suggestions differing from those needed by the writer of experience.

Address The Student-Writer, 1835 Champa Street, Denver, Colo.

He me

k," n." hy

vou art ien the

in it, on.

ade of

ive ow ry? rk-

son ut,

his red ed

tly in-

to a

nd for

The Student-Writer's Criticism and Allied Service

Rates Effective January 1, 1920

PROSE CRITICISM RATES.

\$1.00 per thousand words, up to 5,000. Fifty cents for each 1,000 additional. Discount of 20 per cent from above rates for single manuscripts of 20,000 words or more

Reduced Rates may be obtained by paying for several thousand words at one time. Thus \$7.50 covers criticism one time. Thus \$4.50 covers criticism of 10,000 words, whether in one manuscript or divided among several. A leposit of \$25.00 covers criticism of 45,-000 words, divided as author sees fit. (For example, among nine 5000-word

manuscripts, which would cost \$45.00 if submitted individually.)

VERSE CRITICISM RATES

VERSE TYPING.

Vol

in

beg eve

He

ha

to

car it. tri

lef

sto

is

pe in re

Si

ed fc

Per line, 1 cent, Minimum..... .25 PROSE TYPING (with editing.)

Per thousand words (carbon copy) 1.00 PROSE LITERARY REVISION.

With typing, per thousand words.. 2.00 Without typing, per thousand wds. 1.50 Long manuscripts subject to estimate.

All Fees Payable in Advance.

Return postage should accompany manuscripts sent for typing or criticism. No responsibility is assumed for manuscripts lost in transit.

LITERARY REVISION AND TYPING.

Writers who desire to submit to publishers work that is faultless in style and appearance find the Literary Revision and Typing service of The Student-Writer invaluable

The Literary Revision service is, we confidently believe, the best to be obtained anywhere. Crudities of style are smoothed away, sentences and paragraphs are recast if they require it, quotations are verified, awkward mannerisms are toned down, and the material is put into literary form calculated to make an immediate good impression upon the most exacting editor. If the work is intended for general submission, a critical opinion and list of possible markets is included.

The Typing service for prose work includes careful editing—the elimination of grammatical errors and correction of misspelled words and punctuation. Letter-perfect work is produced, superior for literary workers to that obtainable from commercial typists. A brief critical opinion and list of markets is furnished. One carbon copy included. The rate is \$1.00 per thousand words.

Where the work to be revised or typed is of unusual character or unusual

length, it is well to submit it for an estimate,

RATES FOR STUDENT-WRITER PROSE CRITICISM SERVICE (Elaborated for ready reference from the condensed schedule.)

500 words\$.50	5.000 words, \$5.00	15,000 wds\$10.00	60,000 wds. \$26.00
1,000 words 1.00		20,000 wds 10.00	
1,500 words. 1.50 2,000 words. 2.00	7,000 Words. 6.00	25,000 wds 12.00	80,000 wds. 34.00 90,000 wds. 38.00
2,500 words. 2.50	9,000 words. 7.00	35,000 wds 16.00	100,000 wds. 42.00
3,000 words 3.00	10,000 words, 7.50	40,000 wds 18.00	150,000 wds. 62.00
4,000 words 4.00	12,000 words. 8.50	50,000 wds 22.00	200,000 wds. 82.00

If more than one manuscript is to be submitted within the designated word limit, add 25 per cent to the above rates for 20,000 words or over (to offset the 20 per cent deduction). Thus, \$27.50 will pay for 50,000 words divided as may be desired (for example, among ten 5,000word manuscripts),

The Student-Writer Workshop, 1835 CHAMPA STREET. DENVER, COLORADO.